## PATENT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 00786/405003

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Denise L. Faustman

Confirmation No.:

3056

Serial No.:

10/698,734

Art Unit:

1644

Filed:

October 31, 2003

Examiner:

Michail A. Belyavskyi

Customer No.:

21559

Title:

METHODS OF ORGAN REGENERATION USING HOX11-EXPRESSING

PLURIPOTENT CELLS

# APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705

In response to the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) set forth in the Issue Notification mailed in connection with the above-captioned patent application on August 12, 2009, and as set forth on the cover of U.S. Patent No. 7,582,313 B2 ("the '313 patent"), issued September 1, 2009, Applicant hereby requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment. Applicant submits that the current patent term adjustment should be 725 days, not 199 days as shown in the Patent Term Adjustment History on the PAIR system (Exhibit A).

## Background Law and Rules

35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(A) states:

Subject to the limitations under paragraph (2), if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the Patent and Trademark Office to-

(i) provide at least one of the notifications under section 132 of this title or a notice of allowance under section 151 of this title not later than 14 months after-

the date on which an application was filed under section 111(a) of this title.

Corresponding provisions are found in 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.702(a)(1) and 1.703(a)(1). Applicant refers to Office delay under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(A), and the corresponding rules, as "A delay." 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B) states:

Subject to the limitations under paragraph (2), if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years after the actual filing date of the application in the United States, not including-

(i) any time consumed by continued examination of the application requested by the applicant under section 132(b);

\* \* \*

the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day after the end of that 3-year period until the patent is issued.

Corresponding provisions are found in 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.702(b)(1) and 1.703(b)(1). Applicant refers to Office delay under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B), and the corresponding rules, as "B delay."

35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A) states (emphasis added):

To the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

A corresponding provision is found in 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(f).

The Office has explained its interpretation of the "overlap" provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(f) as follows (emphasis added):

[T]he Office has consistently taken the position that if an application is entitled to an adjustment under the three-year pendency provision of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B), the entire period during which the application was pending before the Office (except for periods excluded under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)(1)(B)(i)-(iii)), and not just the period beginning three years after the actual filing date of the application, is the relevant period under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)(1)(B) in determining whether periods of delay "overlap" under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

Explanation of 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(f) and of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Interpretation of 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A), 69 Fed. Reg. 34283, 34283 (Jun. 21, 2004). The Office's interpretation was recently rejected by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, which stated (emphasis added):

The operative question under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A) is whether "periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap." The only way that periods of time can "overlap" is if they occur on the same day. If an "A delay" occurs on one calendar day and a "B delay" occurs on another, they do not overlap, and § 154(b)(2)(A) does not limit the extension to one day. Recognizing this, the PTO defends its interpretation as essentially running the "period of delay" under sub-section (B) from the filing date of the patent application, such that a period of "B delay" always overlaps with any periods of "A delay" for the purposes of applying § 154(b)(2)(A).

The problem with the PTO's construction is that it considers the application delayed under § 154(b)(1)(B) during the period before it has been delayed. That construction cannot be squared with the language of § 154(b)(1)(B), which applies "if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years." (Emphasis added.) "B delay" begins when the PTO has failed to issue a patent within three years, not before.

Wyeth v. Dudas, No. 07-1492 (JR), 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 76063, at \*3 (D.D.C. Sept. 30, 2008).

Accordingly, Applicant submits that, where A delay occurs on different calendar days before the B delay, the periods of A delay and B delay are not to be considered overlapping under § 154(b)(2)(A), but rather must be added together to determine the overall Office delay.

#### The '313 Patent

Two periods of A delay are shown in Exhibit A: The delay of 434 days associated with the mailing of the Restriction Requirement dated March 10, 2006, and the delay of 7 days associated with the mailing of the final Office Action dated January 24, 2008. Thus, according to Exhibit A, the total A delay is 441 days. Applicant believes the total A delay should only be 434 days; it is not clear to Applicant how the additional 7 days of delay were calculated by the Office.

Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.702(b) and 1.703(b), the time interval between the date that is three years from the actual filing date of the application and the filing of a Request for Continued Examination counts as B delay. As shown in Exhibit A, the Office's calculation of B delay is 636 days. Applicant does not dispute the Office's calculation.

As is discussed above, when the periods of A delay and B delay do not overlap,

Applicant is entitled to both periods of delay. Here, the period of A delay – December 31, 2004 to March 10, 2006 – does not overlap with the period of B delay, which ran from October 31, 2006 to June 28, 2008. Accordingly, Applicant is entitled, under U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A) and 37 C.F.R. § 1.703(f), to the sum of the periods of A delay and B delay in the patent term adjustment calculation, for a total Office delay of 434 + 636 = 1070 days.

Turning to applicant delay, based on an analysis of 37 C.F.R. § 1.704, Applicant does not dispute the Office's calculation of 437 days.

Applicant concludes that the Office delay associated with the '313 patent is 434 + 636 = 1070 days, while the applicant delay is 62 + 16 + 29 + 97 + 43 + 95 + 3 + 57 + 35 = 437 days. Thus, the '313 patent is entitled to a total of 1070 - 437 = 633 days of patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.703, and such correction is hereby respectfully requested.

The present patent is not subject to a Terminal Disclaimer.

## CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, Applicant submits that the current patent term adjustment should be 633 days and requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment.

Please charge Deposit Account No. 03-2095 in the amount of \$200.00 for the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e). If there are any additional charges or any credits, please apply them to Deposit Account No. 03-2095.

Respectfully submitted,

Todd Armstrong, Ph.D. Reg. No. 54,590

Date: 28 Ochober 2009

For Paul T. Clark Reg. No. 30,162

Clark & Elbing LLP 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: 617-428-0200 Facsimile: 617-428-7045

5

10/698,734	METHODS OF ORG PLURIPOTENT CEL	10-28- 2009::12:22:59							
Patent Term	Adjustments								
Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) for Application Number: 10/698,734									
Filing or 371(c	) Date:	10-31-2003	USPTO Delay (PTO) Delay (days):	636					
Issue Date of Patent:		09-01-2009	Three Years:	-					
Pre-Issue Petitions (days):		+0	Applicant Delay (APPL) Delay (days):	437					
Post-Issue Petitions (days):		+0	Total PTA (days):	199					
USPTO Adjustment(days):		+0	Explanation Of Calculations						
Patent Term Adjustment History									
Date	Contents Descript	ion	PTO(Days)	APPL(Days)					
08-12-2009	PTA 36 Months		195						
09-01-2009	Patent Issue Date U	Ised in PTA Calc	ulation						
07-30-2009	Dispatch to FDC		*						
07-29-2009	Workflow - Drawing	s Finished		35					
07-06-2009	<b>Email Notification</b>			會					
07-01-2009	TC Return to Pubs			<b>^</b>					
07-06-2009	Mail Miscellaneous (	Communication	to Applicant	<b>^</b>					
07-01-2009	Miscellaneous Comr Count	munication to Ap	pplicant - No Action						
05-18-2009	Dispatch to FDC								
05-12-2009	Application Is Consi	dered Ready for	- Issue						
05-11-2009	Workflow - Drawing	57							
05-11-2009	Issue Fee Payment	Issue Fee Payment Verified							
05-11-2009	Issue Fee Payment	Issue Fee Payment Received							
04-13-2009	TC Return to Pubs								
04-14-2009	Email Notification								
04-13-2009	Mail Miscellaneous	Mail Miscellaneous Communication to Applicant							
04-09-2009	Miscellaneous Comi Count	munication to Ap	oplicant - No Action						
03-02-2009	Sequence Forwarde	d to Pubs on Ta	pe						
02-12-2009	Mail Notice of Allow	ance							
02-09-2009	Document Verificati	on							
02-09-2009	Notice of Allowance	Data Verification	n Completed						
02-09-2009	Case Docketed to E	xaminer in GAU							
12-29-2008	Information Disclos	ure Statement o	considered						
01-26-2009	Paralegal TD Accept	ted							
12-29-2008	Reference capture of	on IDS							
12-29-2008	Information Disclos	ure Statement (	IDS) Filed						
12-29-2008	Terminal Disclaime	Filed							
01-23-2009	Date Forwarded to	Examiner							
12-29-2008	Response after Non	-Final Action		3					
12-29-2008	Information Disclos	ure Statement (	IDS) Filed	*					

09-26-2008	Electronic Review		Ŷ
09-26-2008	Email Notification		會
09-26-2008	Mail Non-Final Rejection		令
09-24-2008	Non-Final Rejection		
08-20-2008	Reference capture on IDS		
08-20-2008	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed		
08-20-2008	Information Disclosure Statement considered		
08-20-2008	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed		
08-08-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
08-08-2008	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
07-28-2008	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)		95
08-08-2008	DISPOSAL FOR A RCE/CPA/129 (express abandonment if CPA)		Ŷ
07-28-2008	Request for Extension of Time - Granted		4
07-28-2008	Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin		徻
01-25-2008	Electronic Review		Ŷ
01-24-2008	Email Notification		介
01-24-2008	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)	7	
01-18-2008	Final Rejection	4	
09-17-2007	Information Disclosure Statement considered	4	
07-05-2007	Information Disclosure Statement considered	4	
11-27-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner	4	
10-30-2007	Supplemental Response		43
09-17-2007	Affidavit(s) (Rule 131 or 132) or Exhibit(s) Received		會
09-25-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner		會
09-17-2007	Response after Non-Final Action		97
09-17-2007	Request for Extension of Time - Granted		會
09-17-2007	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed		Ŷ
09-17-2007	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed		企
07-05-2007	Reference capture on IDS		个
07-05-2007	Electronic Information Disclosure Statement		會
07-05-2007	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed		會
03-12-2007	Mail Non-Final Rejection		4
03-02-2007	Non-Final Rejection		
08-25-2004	Information Disclosure Statement considered		
01-18-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
12-27-2006	Response to Election / Restriction Filed		29
12-27-2006	Request for Extension of Time - Granted		4
08-28-2006	Mail Restriction Requirement		4
08-18-2006	Requirement for Restriction / Election		
07-07-2006	Date Forwarded to Examiner		
06-26-2006	Response to Election / Restriction Filed		16

06-26-2006	Request for Extension of Time - Granted		*
03-10-2006	Mail Restriction Requirement	434	
03-06-2006	Requirement for Restriction / Election	*	
10-18-2005	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete	A Property of the Contract of	
10-18-2005	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	*	
12-27-2004	Preliminary Amendment	*	
08-25-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	*	
08-25-2004	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	*	
04-13-2005	Application Dispatched from OIPE	*	
04-13-2005	Application Is Now Complete	*	
03-21-2005	Additional Application Filing Fees		62
03-21-2005	CRF Disk Has Been Received by Preexam / Group / PCT		4
04-04-2005	CRF Is Good Technically / Entered into Database		*
01-19-2005	SEQUENCE ERRORS		4
12-22-2004	CRF Disk Has Been Received by Preexam / Group / PCT		會
12-22-2004	Additional Application Filing Fees		Ŷ
12-22-2004	A set of symbols and procedures, provided to the PTO on a set of computer listings, that describe in		*
12-22-2004	A statement by one or more inventors satisfying the requirement under 35 USC 115, Oath of the Applic		*
01-06-2005	CRF Is Flawed Technically / Not Entered into Database		٠
10-18-2004	Notice MailedApplication IncompleteFiling Date Assigned		\$
01-22-2004	Cleared by OIPE CSR		
12-21-2003	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review		
10-31-2003	Initial Exam Team nn		

Close Window